

# Arizona Supreme Court Data Standardization Advisory Committee

## AGENDA

August 26, 2025, 10:00 am – 12 noon

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://zoom.us/j/93946039512?pwd=NLHgPMiQbAo2foak0f0V60ibTtCAME.1>

Passcode: 880227

Webinar ID: 939 4603 9512

Conference Call Line: 888-788-0099 (US Toll Free)

9:50 a.m.	Zoom Webinar Open for Members, Attendees and Presenters	Michael Wise, AOC Staff
<b>Meeting Opening</b>		
10:00 a.m.	Welcome to Members and Proxies, and Roll Call of Attendees  Review/Vote on July 29, 2025, Meeting Minutes	Mike Malone, Chair
10:05 a.m.	Call to the Public	Mike Malone, Chair
<b>Items from Previous Meeting/s</b>		
10:10 a.m.	Review/Discuss/Vote – Code Standardization Requests (Jennifer Jones) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Case Category, Case Type, Case Subtype code sets - FYI – Tabled to September meeting</li> <li>• Proposal to extend the CCR Warrant feed to gather information on the Return of a Search Warrant Cover Sheet</li> </ul>	
<b>New Items</b>		
10:30 a.m.	Review/Discuss/Vote – New Code Standardization Requests <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update to Case Type code set Administrative Warrant (Danica Sanchez)</li> <li>• Update to Warrant Authority code set and Case Type code set for Senate Bill 1232 (Cassie Oberlin)</li> <li>• Update to Case Types code set to implement Senate Bill 1500 (Cassie Oberlin)</li> </ul>	
	Review/Discuss – Next Steps for Remaining Required Data Elements (Michael Wise)	
<b>Ongoing Business</b>		
11:45 a.m.	Updates, Comments, and Questions from Members	

	Reminder - The next committee meeting will be Tuesday, September 30, 2025, 10 a.m. - 12 noon via Zoom webinar	Mike Malone, Chair
12:00 p.m.	Closing Comments and Adjournment (motion needed)	Mike Malone, Chair

**Links**

- [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#)
- [Administrative Order 2025-67](#)
- Link to OneDrive folder with all DS Advisory Committee meeting videos (July 2023 – present): [DS Advisory Committee Recordings](#)
- All code standards are posted here: <https://www.azcourts.gov/courtservices/Court-Operations-Unit/Required-Data-Elements-and-Code-Standardization/Code-Standardization>

Questions concerning code standards: Please raise to the questions to the Data Standardization Advisory Committee via [lritenou@courts.az.gov](mailto:lritenou@courts.az.gov) and [miwise@courts.az.gov](mailto:miwise@courts.az.gov)

If your court is having issues, mapping your local codes to standard codes, understanding the code set CCR is seeking, then please reach out to Data Standardization Advisory Committee and to [itdintegrationteam@courts.az.gov](mailto:itdintegrationteam@courts.az.gov). In your email, please provide the code standard in question or if seeking for code to be approved, and detailed information along with your questions and justification for the need for the code. All codes must be presented to the Data Standardization Advisory Committee for approval. If the code is denied, next steps will be determined .

*All times are approximate. The Chair reserves the right to set the order of the agenda. For any item on the agenda, the Committee may vote to go into executive session as permitted by Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §1-202. Please contact Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675 with any questions concerning this agenda. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation by contacting Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange for the accommodation.*

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:** For any item listed on the agenda, the Committee may vote to go into Executive Session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with an attorney employed by or representing any judicial entity regarding legal advice, pursuant to the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration, Code Section 1-202(C)(5)(c)

# DATA STANDARDS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

July 29, 2025

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m., via Zoom Webinar

**DRAFT MINUTES**

---

**Present:** Laurie Allen, Odette Apodaca, Gil Bensinger, Laura Bergan, Daniel Bowman, Summer Dalton, Niltza Flores, Ralph Garcia, Dean Hammel, Todd Herrera-Ridenhour, Anirban Mitra as proxy for Susann Holland, Randy Kennedy, Adele May, Tania Nieblas, Mike Nimtz, Ester Reeves, Karen Roush as proxy for Ginger Rodas, Marcos Romero, Danica Sanchez, Katrina Solis, Adam Walterson, Jeanette Wiesenhofer, and Michael Malone

**Not Present:**

**Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and Guests:** Mary Bellefeuille (Mesa), Daniel Paulson (Mesa), Jennifer Ferguson (Maricopa), Laura Navarro Cobos (Paradise Valley), Lorri Behunin (Chandler), Andrew Fornek (Chandler), Jennifer Jones (AOC), Laura Ritenour (AOC), Melanie Cluff (AOC), Michael Wise (AOC), Fahmidha Wahab (AOC), and Michele Gillich (AOC)

---

## I. PRIOR TO CALL TO ORDER

Prior to the call to order, Laura Ritenour shared with the committee that there will be a meeting on August 19, 2025, to discuss Case Designation for Photo Enforcement cases. Any court staff wanting a meeting invite should email her.

## II. CALL TO ORDER

### A. Welcome and Opening Remarks

The July 29, 2025, meeting of the Data Standards Advisory Committee was called to order by Mike Malone, meeting chair, at 10:09 a.m. Mike took roll call, established a quorum, and mentioned the meeting was being recorded.

### B. June 24, 2025, Meeting Minutes

Mike Malone displayed the June 24, 2025, draft meeting minutes. Mike asked for a motion to approve the minutes. Niltza Flores made a motion to approve the minutes, and Marcos Romero seconded the motion. The committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

### C. Call to the Public

Mike Malone announced that the Data Standards Advisory Committee will be moving the "Call to the Public" section to the beginning of its meetings to ensure everyone has an opportunity to speak. ~~Jennifer Jones~~Michael Mike Malone made a call to the public for comments. There was no answer.

### III. ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS AND NEW ITEMS

#### D. Review/Discuss/Vote – Code Standardization Requests (Laura Ritenour)

##### Case Category, Case Type, Case Subtype code sets

Laura Ritenour displayed the document and facilitated the discussion for the following codes.

- **Adoption Case Type Codes**

The AJACS team requested to remove the following Adoption case types from code standards as they are a part of OPDJ database and not a court database: AD1, AD4, AD5, AD6, AD7, AD8, and AD9. Randy Kennedy made a motion to remove the Adoption Case Type codes from code standards and Niltza Flores Seconded the motion. The committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Unclassified Civil Case Subtype Code**

The AJACS team requested that the case subtype code for Unclassified Civil, VRP – Verified Rule 27(a) Petition be added to code standards. Odette Apodaca made a motion to add the VRP case subtype to code standards and Katrina Solis Seconded the motion. The committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Eviction Actions Case Type**

The AJACS team requested that the GJ court code of C88 for Civil Eviction Action be updated to EVA to match the LJ court code. If approved the code would be updated in all systems and reports instead of end-dating and retaining the previous code. Odette Apodaca made a motion to change the GJ court code of C88 to EVA and Summer Dalton seconded. The committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Contract Case Type**

The AJACS team requested that the case type code CON for Contract stay as CON for LJ courts instead of standardizing them to match the GJ court code of CO. The committee discussed this request in the context of the overall goal of standardization and agreed to table this for further internal review.

- **Debt Collection Case Type**

The AJACS team requested that the case type code DBT for Debt Collection be designated for LJ courts only. This was tabled to complete further research.

- **Eviction Action Subtype Codes**

The e-filing team proposed the creation of additional Eviction Action subtypes to determine the type of properties associated with the eviction. The following codes were presented: PPCOM: Possession of Property - Commercial, PPRES: Possession of Property - Residential, PPMOH: Possession of Property - Mobile Home, RECOM: Rent - Commercial, RERES: Rent - Residential, REMOH: Rent - Mobile Home, UOCOM: Unlawful Ouster - Commercial, UORES: Unlawful Ouster - Residential, UOMOH: Unlawful Ouster - Mobile Home.

The committee discussed the impact these codes, and existing codes, have on the courts' monthly statistical reporting to the AOC; the AOC said there would be no impact. The committee also discussed the overlap between the statistical team's codes and the work of the Data Standards Advisory Committee. A decision on these codes was deferred pending further research.

**E. Review/Discuss/Vote - New Code Standardization Requests (Laura Ritenour)**

**i. Proposal to extend the CCR Warrant feed to gather information on the Return of a Search Warrant Cover Sheet**

Laura Ritenour presented the request and allowed time for committee review prior to next month's vote.

**F. Updates, Comments and Questions from Members**

There were no updates, comments, or questions.

**G. Adjournment<sup>10</sup>**

A motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Ester Reeves at 11:01 a.m.

**H. Next Committee Meeting Date**

Tuesday, August 26, 2025, 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m., Zoom Webinar

# Data Standardization Advisory Committee

## Code Standardization Discussion – Proposed Standardization

### **Proposed Code Request and Requestor**

Extending the Warrant Feed and Standardizing Cover Sheet Fields, Requested by: AOC Court Services Division

### **Background and Intended Use**

- In 2022, Criminal Rule 2.6: Search Warrants was revised. Since then, courts are required to collect and maintain data concerning search warrants.
- Administrative Directive 2022-13: Search Warrant Data Collection requires courts to adopt a one-page cover sheet, ensure that all search warrants returned to the court are accompanied by a completed cover sheet, and provide data each March to the AOC. Also, per the administrative directive, courts were required to enter the data from the cover sheet into their case management system starting March 2023.
- Per Administrative Order 2024-123: Submission of Standardized Case-Related Data to the Central Case Repository (CCR), all general and limited jurisdiction courts shall submit data to the Administrative Office of the Court's (AOC) Central Case Repository (CCR) as specified in the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation.
- AOC Court Services Division is proposing to extend the Warrant feed in the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation to include the cover sheet field data being collected by all courts' case management systems.
- This proposed change would affect both GJ and LJ courts.
- AOC Court Services Division invites feedback and questions on this proposal.
- An advantage of implementing this proposal would be that non-AJACS courts would no longer have to create a separate report to send to the AOC every March. The data would come as part of the standard transmissions as defined in the CCR Specifications.

### **Proposed Implementation Timeline**

After discussion and voting (at a later meeting), if the Data Standardization Advisory Committee approves this proposal, the AOC Court Services Division and AOC Technical Integration Team would create the proposed extended Warrant Feed for review and approval by the Commission on Technology's Technical Advisory Council. AOC staff would begin creation of a new draft Administrative Directive in consultation with the Data Standardization Advisory Committee to determine a timeline for this update.

### **Proposed Motion**

Motion for the Data Standardization Advisory Committee to support the AOC Court Services Division and AOC Technical Integration Team developing a project to extend the Warrant Feed to meet the criteria in Administrative Directive 2022-13: Search Warrant Data Collection.



Supreme Court of Arizona  
Administrative Office of the Courts  
Court Services Division  
1501 West Washington, Suite 410  
Phoenix, AZ. 85007

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Superior Court Presiding Judges  
Clerks of Superior Court  
Superior Court Administrators  
Limited Jurisdiction Court Judges  
Limited Jurisdiction Court Administrators  
Limited Jurisdiction Chief Clerks  
Juvenile Court Directors  
Chief Probation Officers  
Law Library List

**FROM:** Michael Malone, Director of the AOC Court Services Division

**DATE:** November 30, 2022

**RE:** Administrative Directive No. 2022-13: search warrant data collection

My September 23, 2022, memo to you discussed new Criminal Rule 2.6 regarding search warrants, which the Court adopted in August by [Order No. R-22-0002](#). Rule 2.6 has an effective date of March 1, 2023. Among other things, new Rule 2.6 requires each court to collect and maintain data concerning search warrants that the court authorized during a given reporting period. To implement the data collection process, my previous memo anticipated that the Supreme Court would adopt a new cover sheet that a law enforcement officer must complete, sign, and file at the same time as the return on the search warrant. My memo concluded by saying:

A subsequent AOC administrative directive will provide courts with the cover sheet form and further details on data reporting. This information also will be provided in a future memo. Meanwhile, every court should be in the process of preparing a mechanism for compiling and reporting the required search warrant data. The first reporting period will begin March 1, 2023.

On November 28, 2022, David K. Byers, Administrative Directive of the Courts, issued Administrative Directive No. 2022-13, and this is my follow-up memo. [Here is a link](#) to A.D. No. 2022-13. Please review the directive in its entirety. It has several requirements, including the following.

- (1) It adopts the one-page cover sheet shown in the appendix to the Directive.
- (2) It requires courts to make blank cover sheets available to law enforcement agencies and officers in their respective venues.
- (3) Beginning on March 1, 2023, every return of a search warrant, whether submitted in a paper, facsimile, or electronic format, must be accompanied by a completed paper or electronic cover sheet.
- (4) Beginning on March 1, 2023, every court that receives a search warrant return must file the cover sheet with the return and enter the data from the cover sheet into the case management system.
- (5) Beginning in March 2024, non-AJIN courts must annually compile the total numbers in each category of data collected under Rule 2.6(f) for the reporting period and provide these numbers to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) by March 31st of each year, in a manner prescribed by the AOC.
- (6) The first reporting period will be March 1, 2023, to February 29, 2024. Subsequent reporting periods will begin on the first day of March and end on the last day of February of each year.

For AJIN courts, the Administrative Office of the Courts is adding functionality to AJACS to facilitate collection of data from the cover sheet in the case management system.

Please contact [Susann Holland](mailto:sholland@courts.az.gov) [sholland@courts.az.gov](mailto:sholland@courts.az.gov) (602.452.3581) or [Leon Li](mailto:leli@courts.az.gov) [leli@courts.az.gov](mailto:leli@courts.az.gov) (602.452.3145) for questions regarding application development or technical requirements in AJACS courts; [Cathy Clarich](mailto:cclarich@courts.az.gov) [cclarich@courts.az.gov](mailto:cclarich@courts.az.gov) (602.452.3337) or [Humberto Cisneros](mailto:hcisneros@courts.az.gov) [hcisneros@courts.az.gov](mailto:hcisneros@courts.az.gov) (602.452.3159) for questions concerning non-AJACS courts and the data reporting process; and [Mark Meltzer](mailto:mmeltzer@courts.az.gov) [mmeltzer@courts.az.gov](mailto:mmeltzer@courts.az.gov) (602.452.3242) for questions concerning new Rule 2.6.

Michael Malone  
Director, Court Services Division  
1501 W. Washington  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
602.452.3305  
602.452.3480 (fax)

<b>COVER SHEET FOR THE RETURN OF A SEARCH WARRANT</b>	
Court Issuing the Warrant:	Name of Law Enforcement Agency:
Search Warrant Number:	Agency Incident Report Number:
Search Address (if applicable):	Name of Officer Signing This Form:

Criminal Rule 2.6(e) requires an officer or affiant to complete this cover sheet when submitting a return on a search warrant. The definition of “structure” is at the bottom of the page.

**Was the search warrant issued for the purpose of obtaining a blood sample from a suspect in a DUI case?**

Yes       No      If the answer is “yes,” just date and sign below.

**Did an officer enter a structure to execute the warrant?**

Yes       No      If the answer is “no,” just date and sign below.

- If the answer to the question about a structure is “yes,” check which of the following applies:

- 1. The warrant authorized an unannounced entry and was executed by an unannounced entry.
- 2. The warrant authorized an unannounced entry but was executed by knocking and announcing before entry.
- 3. The warrant did not authorize an unannounced entry and was executed by knocking and announcing before entry.
- 4. The warrant did not authorize an unannounced entry but was executed by an unannounced entry.

- If the answer to the question about a structure is “yes,” check any of the following that apply:

- 1. The warrant authorized nighttime service.
- 2. The warrant was executed at night.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Officer’s Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Definitions:*

- (1) **“Night”** means the period from 10:00 p.m. to 6:30 a.m.
- (2) **“Structure”** means any building, place, or vehicle with sides, a door, and a floor, which a reasonable person would believe is used for permanent or temporary lodging or for a business.

# Data Standardization Advisory Committee

## Code Standardization Request

### Code Request and Requestor

Update Case Type code set for Administrative Warrant, Requested by: Mesa Municipal Court

### Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- An administrative warrant is a document signed by a judge that authorizes and directs a government official to inspect a specific location for persons, conditions, or property items as detailed in the request. The scope of the inspection and any items or areas to be examined must be clearly described in the warrant. This ensures due process is observed while enabling enforcement of public safety regulations such as fire codes, building standards and property maintenance laws.
- In Mesa’s Code of Ordinances, Title 8 (Health, Sanitation, and Environment), Chapter 6 (Public Nuisances, Property Maintenance, Neighborhood Preservation, and Animal Regulations), Article II (Inspections):
  - (A)The Mesa Development Services Department or such other City division or department as the City Manager may designate is hereby authorized to make inspections for violations of this Chapter in the normal course of job duties or in response to a citizen complaint that an alleged violation of the provisions of this Chapter may exist or when there is a reason to believe that a violation of this Chapter has been or is being committed. The City may also conduct inspections of individual residential rental property in accordance with state law. (5124)
  - (B)In order to determine compliance with this Chapter, private property may be entered with the consent of the owner or occupant or as authorized by a court of competent jurisdiction. (5124) (Ord. No. 5524, § 4, 8-26-19)
- Mesa Municipal Court is requesting this code be standardized for Administrative Warrants so that if a case management system has an Administrative Warrant case, the data received will be standardized.
- This code set could be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

### Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? Not applicable for Public Access and eAccess
- Should any document associated with this Docket (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? n/a

### Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

**Proposed Motion**

Motion to modify the Case Type code set with the new code and description in red font below.

<b>LJ Courts</b>	<b>GJ Courts</b>	<b>Case Category</b>	<b>Case Category Description</b>	<b>Case Type</b>	<b>Case Type Description</b>
X		SW	Special Warrant	SWR	Search Warrant
X	X	SW	Special Warrant	ADM	Administrative Warrant
	X	SW	Special Warrant	GPS	GPS
	X	SW	Special Warrant	HE	Handwriting Exemplar
	X	SW	Special Warrant	OPE	Order to Obtain Physical Evidence
	X	SW	Special Warrant	PR	Pen Register
	X	SW	Special Warrant	SW1	Search Warrant
	X	SW	Special Warrant	SZW	Seizure Warrant
	X	SW	Special Warrant	TT	Trap and Trace
	X	SW	Special Warrant	TSW	Telephonic Search Warrant
	X	SW	Special Warrant	WT	Wire Tap

# Data Standardization Advisory Committee

## Code Standardization Request

### Code Request and Requestor

Update Case Type code set for Senate Bill 1232, Requested by AOC Automation Services Unit

### Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- The 2025 Legislative Session has ended and the General Effective Date for signed bills is 9/26/2025 (except for those bills with specified effective dates).
- [SB1232 \(Chapter 227\)](#) – arrest warrant; issuance; affidavit – creates a new type of arrest warrant.
- AOC Automation Services Unit is requesting a New Case Type for this legislation. Special Warrant is the Case Category and **new case type is Arrest Warrant.**
- Rule Changes are being reviewed for decision. <https://rulesforum.azcourts.gov/Rules-Forum/aft/1806>.
- This new case type would be used by general and limited jurisdiction courts.

### Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? No
- Should any document associated with this Docket (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? No

### Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC's FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).\*

### Proposed Motion

Motion to modify the Case Type code set with the new code and description in red font and yellow highlight below.

LJ Courts	GJ Courts	Case Category Code	Case Category Description	Case Type Code	Case Type Description
	X	SW	Special Warrant	SWR	Search Warrant
X	X	SW	Special Warrant	ARW	Arrest Warrant
X		SW	Special Warrant	GPS	GPS
X		SW	Special Warrant	HE	Handwriting Exemplar
X		SW	Special Warrant	OPE	Order to Obtain Physical Evidence
X		SW	Special Warrant	PR	Pen Register
X		SW	Special Warrant	SW1	Search Warrant
X		SW	Special Warrant	SZW	Seizure Warrant
X		SW	Special Warrant	TT	Trap and Trace
X		SW	Special Warrant	TSW	Telephonic Search Warrant
X		SW	Special Warrant	WT	Wire Tap
X	X	SW	Special Warrant	ADM	Administrative Warrant (Proposed by Mesa in another request)

\* IT IS FURTHER DIRECTED that all courts must transmit case data starting when a case is initiated using the “core\_xxx” transactions identified in the Stage Data Feeds section of the CCR Specifications by June 30, 2026. – From [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

## Data Standardization Advisory Committee

### Code Standardization Request

#### Code Request and Requestor

Update Case Type code set for Senate Bill 1500, Requested by AOC Automation Services Unit

#### Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- The 2025 Legislative Session has ended and Senate Bill 1500 has a Delayed Effective Date of 1/1/2026.
- [SB1500 \(Chapter 230\)](#) – compensation; erroneous conviction – creates a new type of claim that can be filed in superior court.
- AOC Automation Services Unit is requesting a New Case Type. Civil is the Case Category and **the new Case Type is Erroneous Conviction Claim.**
- This new case type is for could be used by general jurisdiction courts.

#### Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? Yes
- Should any document associated with this Docket (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? Yes

#### Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC's FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

#### Proposed Motion

Motion to modify the Case Type code set with the new code and description in red font below.

GJ	Case Category Standard Codes	Case Category Standard Description	Case Type Standard Codes	Case Type Standard Description
X	CV	Civil	C18	Tort Non-Motor Vehicle
X	CV	Civil	C43	Name Change
X	CV	Civil	C44	Transcript of Judgment from Lower Court
X	CV	Civil	C45	Foreign Judgment
X	CV	Civil	C56	Unclassified Civil
X	CV	Civil	C58	NCC - Employer Sanction
X	CV	Civil	C82	Eminent Domain
X	CV	Civil	C83	Quiet Title
X	CV	Civil	€88 - proposed EVA to match LJ proposed code below	Eviction Actions
X	CV	Civil	CEJ	Civil Case Exceeding Jurisdiction
X	CV	Civil	CLR	Clearance of Record
X	CV	Civil	CO	Contract
X	CV	Civil	DF1	Determination of Factual Innocence
X	CV	Civil	DF2	Determination of Factual Improper Party Status
X	CV	Civil	EC	Election Challenge
X	CV	Civil	ERC	Erroneous Conviction Claim
X	CV	Civil	EXP	Expungement
X	CV	Civil	FRC	Federal Restoration of Civil Rights
X	CV	Civil	HC	Habeas Corpus
X	CV	Civil	IEC	Immigration Enforcement Challenge
X	CV	Civil	LCA	LCA - Non Traffic orig in JP or MC
X	CV	Civil	LCJ	LCA - Appeal of civil traffic case orig in JP
X	CV	Civil	LCM	LCA - Appeal of Civil traffic case orig in MC
X	CV	Civil	MM	Medical Malpractice

X	CV	Civil	NSA	SPECIAL ACTIONS
X	CV	Civil	OSR	Out of State Application for Restoration of Civil Rights
X	CV	Civil	PF	Property Forfeiture
X	CV	Civil	RP	Real Property
X	CV	Civil	RPI	Real Property Issue
X	CV	Civil	SRC	Seal Records
X	CV	Civil	SVP	Sexually Violent Person
X	CV	Civil	TJN	Transcript of Judgment – Non Lower Court
X	CV	Civil	TMV	Tort Motor Vehicle

